PRESENTATION TO PARLIAMENTARY PORTFOLIO COMMITTEE: DTIC



competition tribunal

Presented by

MONDO MAZWAI CHAIR

JANEEN DE KLERK CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER

TEBOGO MPUTLE HEAD OF REGISTRY





Annual Integrated Report 2018/2019

Quarter 1 and Quarter 2



ANNUAL **INTEGRATED** REPORT 2018/19

20 years of reporting



Content

- Who we are
- What we do
- How we do it
- How did we perform?
- Finances

Who we are



Who we are

CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE

The mandate of the Tribunal is contained in section 34 of The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, which states "Everyone has the right to have any dispute that can be resolved by the application of law decided in a fair public hearing before a court or, where appropriate, another independent and impartial Tribunal or forum".

Who we are

- SA has a market-based economy subject to regulation competition policy exists within this framework
- Competition law in South Africa is governed by the Competition Act
- The Competition Act (Act) regulates 2 broad areas of competition: mergers & acquisitions : firms of a certain size are required to notify the commission of intermediate and large mergers to obtain approval prohibited practices (anti-competitive conduct): usually involve cartel conduct or abuse of dominance



What we do

- Competition Commission: acts as the
 "prosecutor" in the competition system.
- Tribunal: functions like a court
- Competition Appeal Court: considers appeals against Tribunal decisions



- Tribunal members hear a case and make a decision
- Must issue written reasons for decisions
- Tribunal can impose remedies such as administrative penalties
- Tribunal can approve, conditionally approve or prohibit large mergers

How we do it

- The Tribunal cannot set any objectives that are not directly expressed by or provided for in the law.
- The Tribunal has no control over the number and types of cases brought before it. The case load is determined entirely by complaint referrals and notified mergers and each case is adjudicated on its own merits.
- Nevertheless we have set three broad strategic goals.
- Our performance is measured against these targets.

How we do it

* Tribunal's three broad strategic goals:

Strategic Orientated Outcome Goal	Goal Statement
Adjudicative excellence	To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.
Stakeholder relationships	To build and develop effective stakeholder relationships.
Accountable, transparent and sustainable entity	To ensure effective leadership, transpar- ency and accountability in the Tribunal through capacity building, effective reporting, policy management and financial compliance.

HOW DID WE PERFORM?

7

Adjudicative excellence - highlights

Adjudicative Excellence -

to ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal

	Heard 215 matters	2 large mergers prohibited	53.54% of the 99 large mergers decided this year were cleared in 60 days or less
21.57 %	of the mergers approved - approved subject to conditions	Public interest conditions imposed on eight of the 22 (36.36%) mergers approved with conditions	93.71 of the penalties imposed were for cartel cases and 95.96% of prohibited
	SI B	t administrative penalty - Kawasaki , for its role in an international shipping cartel,	practice cases involved cartel behaviour

and amounted to R98.9 million

Adjudicative excellence

Our annual performance plan (APP) identifies 15 targets related to **adjudication**. 12 relate specifically to **effective case management** and the timeous issuing of decisions while 3 relate to **effective business applications**.



No. of indicators

15



No. achieved/ exceeded





No. partially achieved





No. that could not be measured



Adjudicative excellence

TO WHAT DEGREE DID WE NOT COMPLY

- Set down: large/intermediate and small mergers
 (65.71% of the matters set down within 10 business days
 69 out of 105)
- Reasons issued: large/intermediate and small mergers
 (72.92% of the reasons issued within required 20 business
 days 70 out of 96)
- Reasons issued: prohibited practice cases (40% of the reasons issued were issued timeously – 3 out of 5)

Measuring the adjudicative

prococc

YEAR	2018/2019	2017/2018	2018/2019	2017/2018	2018/2019	2017/20
Type of matter	Hea	ard	Orders	issued	Reason	s issued
Large merger	101	121	99	121	96	121
Intermediate merger	4	6	3	4	2	1
Complaints from the Commission	18	14	5	5	5	5
Consent order	45	29	48	26	0	2
Complaints from the complainant	0	1	0	0	0	0
Interim relief	1	0	0	0	0	0
Procedural matter	46	42	32	51	12	28
Total	215	213	187	207	115	157

- Volume of matters heard appears to be constant
- Less orders and reasons issued this year may be partly explained by complexity of issues being decided
- Case volume affected by state of economy, number of interlocutory applications and appeals from Commission merger decision

Measuring the adjudicative

brococc

Value of large merger transactions decided by the Tribunal:

	2018/ 2019	2017/ 2018
Total combined turnover	R2 536 286 094 906	R4 886 307 336 295
Minimum combined turnover	R168 183 542	R59 691 000
Maximum combined turnover	R179 013 652 566	R1 666 123 080 000
Average combined turnover	R25 619 051 464	R40 382 705 259
Number of mergers decided	99	121
Total transaction value	R1 120 517 014 734	R2 312 703 185 490

Measuring the adjudicative

nracacc

Fines issued per sector over two years:



Stakeholder relationships - highlights

Stakeholder Relationships -

to build and develop effective stakeholder relationships



99 media releases issued for the **102** final merger decisions released this year

media releases subscribers increased from 3 854 to 4 640

99 merger decisions and30 complaint referralnotifications were placed inthe Government Gazette

THIRTEEN schools represented during

two school visits to the

Tribunal this year

82 801 website visitors STP.

2 865

Tribunal stories were carried in the **media**

Stakeholder relationships

A communication framework, reviewed annually, provides a structured and focused process through which the Tribunal creates and enhances awareness of its work and activities. It also provides necessary guidelines to fulfil the Tribunal's predetermined **stakeholder relationship** objectives.



Stakeholder Relationships

TO WHAT DEGREE DID WE NOT COMPLY

- Stakeholder survey: not conducted due to operational circumstances
- Media release for final prohibited practice cases: 60% issued within required two business days (3 out of 5)

Accountable, transparent and sustainable entity - highlights

Accountable, Transparent and Sustainable Entity -

to ensure effective leadership, transparency and accountability in the Tribunal through capacity building, effective reporting, policy management and financial compliance



Trophy awarded by the Auditor-General for a clean audit in 2017/2018

Merit award for excellence for its 2017/2018 integrated annual report from the South African Publication Forum Tribunal won a **merit award** for its **annual integrated report for the third consecutive year** from the Chartered Secretaries Southern Africa

116 days – Tribunal members and staff spent in workshops and conferences



Clean audit – 2017/2018





11 interns spent 1426.56 days in training at the Tribunal

- 6 targets address the annual audit outcome and compliance with annual financial statements.
- 1 target relates to maintaining and implementing the case management internship programme.



* We focus on developing and building sustainable capacity i.e. internship programme

The internship programme is well entrenched in the Tribunal. Annually a number of students benefit from it. It is important with regard to developing skilled capacity, thus contributing to sustainability in the Tribunal and the broader competition law and policy area.



Legislation and areas of compliance that guide our operations



The PFMA and National Treasury regulations require that a fraud prevention plan is included as a component of a risk management strategy

- The Tribunal has adopted a fraud prevention plan (FPP) and appointed a fraud prevention committee (FPC)
- The FPP is drafted to ensure that the necessary mechanisms to prevent, detect and deter fraud are in place. In addition, it addresses policy and processes for reporting, investigation and resolution
- The plan is communicated to all employees and they are required to sign an antifraud statement, thus confirming their commitment to the Tribunal's policy of zero tolerance to fraud

- Effective oversight structures are in place Audit Committee, Risk Committee and Fraud Prevention Committee
- Effective financial management and reporting achieved
- Awarded a trophy by the AG for a clean audit for the year ending March 2018
- *Received a **clean audit** for the year ending **March 2019**, the third in a row and testimony to the full achievement of targets

HOW EFFECTIVELY ARE WE **USING OUR** FINANCIAL **RESOURCES**?

In my opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Competition Tribunal as at 31 March 2019



The Tribunal's budget is allocated according to the three strategic goals. We are able to annually report expenditure against each goal and determine the direct cost of our core business: adjudication.

Strategic orientated outcome goal	Goal statement	Budget allocated	Budget spent	No. of indicators	No. achieved or exceeded	No. partially achieved	No. that could not be measured
Adjudicative excellence	To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal	R 30 160 973.00	R27 061 254.12	15	8	4	3
Stakeholder relationships	To build and develop effective stakeholder relationships	R 1 189 403.38	R1 087 176.69	5	3	2	0
Accountable transparent and sustainable entity	To ensure effective leadership, transparency and accountability in the Tribunal through capacity building, effective reporting, policy management and financial compliance.	R11 010 738.37	R8 347 143.32	7	7	0	0
Other expenses		R12 711 538.25	R12 171 567.97				
TOTAL		R55 072 653.00	R48 667 182.00	27	18	6	3

Finances

Total income received in the current period is 13.96% higher than in the prior period. This is the combined result of a 16.80% increase in the grant allocated to the Tribunal and a 7.85% increase in the filing fees received from the Commission. In December 2018 the fee payable by parties filing a merger application increased by 10% for intermediate and large mergers and this may, in part, explain the increase in our fee income.

Revenue	R'M 2018/2019	% 2018/2019	R'M 2017/2018	% 2017/2018
Government grants	R35.09	65.30%	R30.04	63.71%
Filing fees	R17.58	32.72%	R16.30	34.57%
Other income	R1.07	1.98%	R0.81	1.72%
Total revenue	R53.73	100.00%	R47.15	100.00%

Income by category over the last two years

Finances

Expenditure analysis by category over two years



The cost of travel. occupation on the DTI campus, software renewals and the running costs of various governance and oversight structures are included in the category 'administrative expenses' while 'operating expenses' include depreciation, finance charges and various IT support services. Professional services include payments to the Commission in terms of the MOA, transcription and recording services, website support and consulting, legal fees and recruitment fees among others.

Quarter 1 and Quarter 2



Content

- Highlights
- Cases processed
- Penalties levied
- Performance Information
- Income
- Expenditure
- Looking forward
- Current Challenges

Highlights – 2019/2020

The year so far:

- 89 matters heard over 73 hearing days
- 216 person days spent in hearings
- Part-time Tribunal members = 28.70% of these days
- Variable cost of adjudicative process R1.98m
- ✤ Part-time Tribunal members' fees = 63.80% of cost
- Penalties total R 37.46m
- * 49 press releases issued for 49 final merger decisions
- * 1592 media reports on Tribunal matters

Cases processed – 2019/2020

Case Type	On the roll from the previous period	Received	No of matters set down	Number heard	Withdrawn/ Settled/ Abandoned/ Removed from Roll	Orders issued	Reasons issued	On the roll at the end of the period
Large Mergers	16	45	46	46	1	47	44	16
Small/Intermediate Mergers	7	3	1	1	1	2	3	6
Consent orders/ Settlement agreements	1	23	0	16	0	14	0	10
Interim Relief	7	2	0	0	1	1	1	7
Exemption Appeals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	31	73	47	63	3	64	48	39



Penalties levied – 2019/2020

Sector	Total over period	%	Largest penalty
Manufacturing	R 27 763 214.13	74.11%	Quarter 1
Construction	Construction R 9 129 543.09		R 6 038 852.00
Administrative and support service activities	R 40 300.59	0.11%	GVK Siyazama Building Contractors – collusive tendering
Transportation and storage	R 240 647.05	0.64%	Quarter 2
Professional scientific and technical activities	R 286 846.39	0.77%	R 5 001 364.34
Total	R 37 460 551.25	100%	Hendok Pty Ltd – price foxing through agreed price lists

Performance information –

• Targets

26 performance targets for current financial year
15 relate to core business: adjudication (12 targets) enhancement of business applications (3 targets)
4 targets relate to maintaining, enhancing our profile
7 relate to accountability, transparency and sustainability

Adjudicative targets	Year to date	%
Targets met or exceeded in the quarter	2	16.67%
Targets not met in the quarter	9	75%
Targets that could not be measured in ¹ the quarter	1	8.33%
Total adjudicative targets	12	100%

Performance against targets- 2019/2020

Indicator	Target	Achievement	Number meeting target	Status
Large merger set down	80% within 10 business days of filing of merger referral	85%	39 out of 46 set down	Met
Intermediate/small merger set down	70% within 10 business days of receipt of Commission's record	0%	O out of 1 set down	Not met
Large merger orders issued	95% within 10 business days of last hearing date	100%	47 out of 47 orders issued	Exceeded
Intermediate/small merger orders issued	80% within 10 business days of last hearing date	50%	1 out of 2 orders issued	Not met
Large merger reasons issued	80% issued within 20 business days of order being issued	66%	29 out of 44 reasons issued	Not met
Intermediate/small merger reasons issued	60% issued within 20 business days of order being issued	33%	1 out of 3 reasons issued	Not met

Performance against targets- 2019/2020

Indicator	Target	Achievement	Number meeting target	Status
Simple prohibited practice cases	100% of reasons issued within 100 business days of order	No reasons issued	No reasons issued	Cannot be measured
Complex prohibited practice cases	100% of reasons issued within 125 business days of order	67%	2 out of 3 reasons issued	Not met
Very complex prohibited practice cases	100% of reasons issued within 150 business days of order	50%	1 out of 2 reasons issued	Not met
Orders in procedural matter cases	85% of orders issued within 45 business days of last hearing date	52%	16 out of 31 orders issued	Not met
Consent orders and orders in settlement agreements	95% of orders issued within 10 business days of last hearing date	93%	13 out of 14 orders issued	Not met
Reasons in interim relief cases	90% of reasons issued within 20 business days	0%	0 out of 1 reasons issued	Not met

Reasons for not meeting targets – 2019/2020

Adjudicative Target	Factors that effect our ability to deliver in line with predetermined targets
Delays in set down	 Parties not ready and request other dates Shortage of Tribunal members Unavailability of part-time members Panels cannot be constituted for reasons above
Orders not issued within the required timeframes	 Matters may be very complex and require substantial deliberation before order is issued Panels comprise members with other work causing delays
Reasons not issued within the required time frame	 Matters complex and requiring substantial research or deliberation Shortage of Tribunal members Members sitting on number of hearings with no time to draft reasons Panels comprise members with other work causing delays

Income - 2019/2020

Income source	Total budget	Budget YTD	Revenue YTD	Percentage of total budget
Government grant	R36.17m	R24.11m	R24.11m	58.66%
Filing fees	R19.5m	R9.75m	R6.68m	31.63%
Other income	0	0	R0.01m	
Interest received	R0.68m	R0.34m	R0.57m	1.10%
Use of accumulated surplus	R5.31m	R5.31m	R0	8.61%
Total income	R61.66m	R39.51m	R31.38m	100%

Income stream – 2019/2020

Government grant

National Treasury approved R36.17m government grant for 2019/2020

• Filing fees

Agreement: Tribunal receives 30% of large merger and 5% of intermediate merger filing fees received by the Commission

Use of accumulated surplus

National Treasury has approved the retention of accumulated cash surpluses to fund shortfalls anticipated in the current year's budget.

Expenditure –

Strategic goal	Total budget	Budget YTD	Expenditure YTD	Percentages
Adjudicative excellence	R33.29m	R14.63m	R14.34m	53.97%
Stakeholder relationship	R1.45m	R0.69m	R0.60m	2.27%
Accountable, transparent and sustainable entity	R10.16m	R4.96m	R4.53m	17.07%
Administrative and operating expenses	R1412m	R7.06m	R6.28m	23.65%
Capital	R1.91m	R1.46m	R0.55m	2.08%
Appeal Court	R0.73m	R0.36m	R0.25m	R0.96%
Total	R61.66m	R31.20m	R26.56	100%

Changes to the Competition Act

- Will substantially increase the mandate of the Tribunal
- Likely to increase the case load of the Tribunal in terms of volume and complexity
- Allows for an increase in the number of members (from 11 to 15) and gives the Minister power to appoint acting parttime members.
- Additional capacity will greatly improve Tribunal efficiency
- Increased case load and capacity will impact all costs
- Budgetary impact expected to be R9m over the three years

Current challenges

Funding

- Need to request permission annually to retain surpluses
- Projections indicate need for additional funding in two outer years of MTEF period (2021/2022 and 2022/2023)

Capacity shortage

- Only 10 out of maximum of 15 Tribunal members
- Budgeted for additional full-time Tribunal member but no appointment yet
- Lack of case management capacity to deal with increased case volumes/workload
- Recruitment process being followed

Thank You

Questions and Answers

